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Since their victory on Nov. 7, the Democratic leaders have pledged to make raising the minimum wage among their top priorities. If they want to accomplish that goal they should make sure to have all of their Republican colleagues view Roger Weisberg’s Waging a Living before the debates begin.

The federal minimum wage has remained at $5.15 per hour since 1997. According to The New York Times, when adjusted for inflation, the buying power of the wage has dropped to its lowest level since 1955. By December, The Times reported recently, the minimum wage will have remained unchanged for the longest period since it was established in 1938.

Weisberg follows four Americans over a period of three years in Waging a Living, three women and one man. Jean Reynolds, a rest home nurse in New Jersey, is recently divorced and is taking care of her children, one of whom is a 29-year-old cancer patient, and her children as well. Jerry Langoria, a security guard in San Francisco earns $12 per hour, but can only afford a small room in a hotel for $530 per month.

Weisberg points out several facts throughout the documentary such as, after 10 years, of those who start in poverty 50 percent stay there. After a divorce, in the year following a man’s standard of living increases by 10 percent while a woman’s decreases by 27 percent. An estimated 18,000 Americans die every year for lack of health insurance.

Mary Venitelli is a waitress in New Jersey, which means she technically earns less than the federal minimum wage, a mere $2.18, because the tips she is supposed to make would make up for the difference. When the film opens, Venitelli has just started her divorce proceedings and appears almost too well off. She lives in a big house and drives a Volkswagen Passat, but she is about to lose both in a short while. She drives to the food pantry for Christmas gifts and food and says, “I was one of those women who used to donate to people like me.” Barbara Brooks, a child care worker in New York is a single mother of five. She earns $8.25 per hour and is trying desperately to get a degree to raise her own earning powers.

In Waging a Living, Weisberg is not telling us that the minimum wage needs to be raised or that universal health care is a must, he is showing us the reality of the situation for working class Americans without either. For Venitelli, that reality involves living on credit cards and having her children act out because she is gone away from home for her job too much. For Langoria, it means that he has to wait nine years to have the financial means to visit his children in North Carolina. For Brooks, it means fearing every raise and promotion, because when she is bumped to $11, she immediately loses her right to food stamps and Medicaid and her housing subsidy drops. For a $450 raise in wages, she loses a total of $600 in government benefits.

She knows she is “hustling backwards.” But Brooks keeps fighting. First she gets an
associate degree, and 8 months later she returns to school for her bachelor’s. As she puts it, she needs to “be equipped to get out of the system.”

Yet for every good turn in a working class American’s life, there seems to be a turn for the worse. The daughter of Reynolds, the New Jersey nurse, makes it to her 30th birthday against her doctor’s prognosis, but soon after Reynolds finds out they are being evicted. Langoria finally saves enough money to go visit his children, but five months later he loses his job.

Weisberg’s *Waging a Living* is a brilliant portrayal of the vicious circle so many working class Americans struggle to leave. His is not political propaganda, it is a simple human interest story that should compel and inspire not only those in Washington, but all of us, to create a better society in which to live.
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Review this film for yourself.
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Comments:

**Theresa Riley** on November 30th, 2006 at 4:54 pm

Your readers might want to know that they can watch a preview of “Waging a Living” on the P.O.V. website at pbs.org. The film premiered on PBS earlier this year. The website also features some podcast conversations with Amy Goodman from Democracy Now!, David Brancaccio from NOW and writer Barbara Ehrenreich.

http://www.pbs.org/pov/pov2006/wagingaliving/

**Luke** on November 30th, 2006 at 6:04 pm

Thank you for the link Theresa!
Waging a Living

Directions: Read the questions below and answer in complete thoughtful sentences!

In 2006, the federal wage was $5.15 per hour, which was the same since 1997. When adjusted for inflation, the buying power of the wage has dropped to its lowest level since 1955.

1) What do you think “buying power” means?

By December of 2006, the minimum wage had remained unchanged for the longest period since it was established in 1938.

2) What does this mean?

3) During this same time period, did the cost of living rise?

4) What is the result of rising living costs and static minimum wage?

5) Now, the federal minimum wage is $6.55 per hour. Do you think this is enough? Why or why not?

6) The federal minimum wage is set to increase to $7.25 in July 2009. Do you think this is enough? Why or why not?
7) Circle one of the following facts and respond to it (what are your thoughts, reactions, feelings, questions, etc?)

| After 10 years, of those who start in poverty, 50% stay there. |
| An estimated 18,000 Americans die every year for lack of health insurance. |

In the year following a divorce, a man’s standard of living increases by 10 percent while a woman’s decreases by 27 percent.
8) Why do you think this is?

In the film, *Waging a Living*, Barbara Brooks says she is “hustling backwards.”
9) What does she mean by this?

In a review of Roger Weisber’s *Waging a Living*, the reviewer writes “Wiesber’s *Waging a Living* is a brilliant portrayal of the vicious circle so many working class Americans struggle to leave. His is not a political propaganda, it is a simple human interest story that should compel and inspire not only those in Washington, but all of us, to create a better society in which to live.”
10) Do you agree with the reviewer? Why or why not? Explain.
Waging a Living

Portrait

Directions: Draw a picture or create a poster portraying one of the four characters in *Waging a Living*. In other words, you are creating a portrait of one of the characters. You want to show their struggles to earn a livable wage and live a comfortable life in the three years the film followed them.

Think about:
- What obstacles were in their way?
- Who helped them? Who did not?
- What did they do to try to improve their economic situation?
- What were their thoughts? Feelings? Reactions?
- Did they succeed? Or were there ups and downs in their journey?

Grading Rubric:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Includes drawings</th>
<th>________/5 points</th>
<th>Includes color ________/5 points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Includes words ________/5 points</td>
<td>Includes a title in big, large letters_____/5 pts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shows effort, time and thought______/5 points</td>
<td>Captures at least 3 obstacles they faced_____/5 pts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>